



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/989,519	11/21/2001	Mathias Raithel	225/50650	9919
7590 01/29/2004			EXAMINER	
CROWELL & MORING, L.L.P. P.O. Box 14300			LE, UYEN T	
Washington, DC 20044-4300			ART UNIT PAPER'ŇUMBER	
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2171	*

DATE MAILED: 01/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/989,519	RAITHEL ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit			
	Uyen T. Le	2171			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Ja</u>	anuary 2004.				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	Pa)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2-6 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers	·				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and the second state of the second state	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic since a specific reference was included in the firs 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language pro 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic reference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Application in the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120(st sentence of the specification of the certified copies not received to priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120(st sentence)	ion No ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) r in an Application Data Sheet. eeived. and/or 121 since a specific			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

- 1. Applicant's amendment to the specification is acknowledged. Consequently, objection to the specification is withdrawn.
- 2. Applicant's cancellation of claim 1 is acknowledged. Consequently, rejection to claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.
- 3. Applicant's amendment to claim 3 is acknowledged. Consequently, rejection to claims 1-5 under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph is withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant has not submitted the German Search Report and translation (item AQ of Form PTO 1449 in paper #4).
- 5. Applicant's arguments have been fully considered but they are moot in view of the new grounds of rejection presented in this Office Action. Applicant seems to argue the claims as amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the

Application/Control Number: 09/989,519

Art Unit: 2171

applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 2-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Snow et al (US 6,434,455) of record.

Regarding claim 6. Snow discloses a method for documentation of vehicle data stored in a data member of the vehicle as claimed (see the abstract, Figures 1-3). The claimed data member is broad enough to read on the modules 12 of Snow. Clearly the stored data is grouped according to products of the vehicle and is updated in the event of changes (see column 4, lines 40-51). The claimed "transmitting...outside the vehicle" reads on the fact that the vehicle module communicates with the service computer via a first link (see the abstract). The claimed "retransmitting...in a first database" reads on the fact that the service computer communicates the information from the module to a central server via a second link (see the abstract). Note that the claimed configuration documentation server is not required to be distinct and separate from the claimed compatibility documentation server. The initiation signal reads on the trigger in Snow (see column 8, lines 52-67). The claimed "storing as compatibility data...second database" reads on the fact that the central server of Snow stores component information to improve component module updating and to determine parameters to be downloaded to the module (see column 1, lines 46-65). The claimed "performing a compatibility check...server" reads on the system verification testing of Snow (see column 12, lines 43-61). Since the method of Snow updates software and hardware products, clearly compatibility has to be checked before any update. The claimed

"checking...documentation server" is met when Snow shows the configuration database 46 and component tracking database 44.

Regarding claim 2, Snow discloses triggering and updating as claimed (see column 8, lines 52-67).

Regarding claim 3, Snow discloses storing configuration standards relating to the products used in the vehicle (see column 10, lines 27-32).

Regarding claim 4, Snow discloses updating the vehicle data by transmitting an enable signal from the control center (see column 10, lines 33-40).

Regarding claim 5, clearly the enable signal is transmitted after the compatibility test since the method of Snow determines the most recent program memory update for a specific vehicle (see column 10, lines 27-32).

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Tait et al (US 2002/0103727) teach data management system for product components and their compatibility with various products.

8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Uyen T. Le whose telephone number is 703-305-4134. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Safet Metjahic can be reached on 703-308-1436. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-3900.

Uyen Le

Primary Examiner

AU 2171

24 January 2004